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Presentation Overview

Monitoring for Joint Coastal Permits (JCP) and Environmental Resource Permits (ERP):

• Background / program overview
• Submerged aquatic vegetation
• Hardbottom
Background

Regulation of Benthic Resources

Environmental Resource Permits (ERP) and Joint Coastal Permits (JCP):

• DEP considers potential impacts to fish, wildlife, and their habitats and evaluates if the project is in the public interest pursuant to section 373.414(1)(a)(2), Florida Statutes.

• Adverse impacts must be minimized to the greatest extent practicable and any unavoidable impacts must be offset by compensatory mitigation (ERP Applicants’ Handbook Volume 1, Section 10.2.1).
Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
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SAV Near Dredging Project
Goal of SAV Consistency Initiative

Improve Regulatory Process for SAV

• Consistent processes & protocols for projects with SAV

• A “toolkit” of guidance documents to make permitting more efficient and predictable
SAV Toolkit

• Regulatory approaches
• Template permit conditions
• Standard monitoring protocols
• Guidance on mitigation activities
• Recommendations for remediation of impacts
Thorough monitoring is needed if a project may impact SAV.

Measures taken to avoid impacts can reduce SAV survey requirements.

*For either approach, the Department may require remediation and/or compensatory mitigation for project-related impacts to SAV.*
Template Permit Conditions

• Pre-construction deliverables
• Monitoring requirements
• Mitigation requirements
## Template Permit Conditions

### More Avoidance/ Less Monitoring

- Rapid pre-construction survey to delineate and characterize SAV
- Mixing zone ends at the edge of nearest SAV bed
- Evidence required to document avoidance measures

### More Monitoring/ Less Avoidance

- Quantitative SAV surveys pre- and post-construction to document potential impacts
- Mixing zone can extend over SAV beds
Monitoring Protocols

- Methods to identify and quantify potential impacts
- Methods to measure success of mitigation activities
Monitoring Protocols

Map SAV boundaries
- Delineate edges using DGPS
- Produce georeferenced map

Qualitative observations
- Rapid visual assessment
- General condition

Quantitative surveys
- Percent cover in quadrats
- Shoot counts
Monitoring Protocols

- Timing of surveys
- Methods for data collection
- Reporting requirements
Mitigation Activities

Considerations for UMAM

• Location/Landscape
• Water Environment
• Community Structure
• Time lag
• Risk
Mitigation Activities

Lessons Learned

• Site Selection
• Species to plant
• Bird stakes
Additional Resources

- Remediation of impacts
- Maps of SAV
- Points-of-contact
- Peer-reviewed literature
Hardbottom
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Standard Operation Procedures

Nearshore Hardbottom Monitoring

- Available online since February 2016
- Outlines methods for nearshore hardbottom monitoring

Appendices in Progress

- Pipeline Corridors
- Mitigative Artificial Reefs
Pipeline Corridors

Identify Hardbottom Resources
  • Application, prior to construction

Avoid or Minimize Impacts
  • Application, prior to construction

Monitor Resources
  • Prior to, during, and immediately following construction
Pipeline Corridors

Identify Hardbottom Resources

- Sonar survey
- In-situ hardbottom verification and mapping
Pipeline Corridors

Avoid or Minimize Impacts

- Plan and then place pipeline
- Conduct pre-pumping pipeline survey
Pipeline Corridors

Monitor Resources
Pipeline Corridors

Monitor Resources

- Visual assessments documented by video
- Types of monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Type</th>
<th>Required For</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Areas where the pipeline runs across/through hardbottom resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Areas where the pipeline runs adjacent to hardbottom resources that are within 25 m of the placed pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Areas where a floating pipeline is used (pipeline is above hardbottom resources)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pipeline Corridors
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Pipeline Corridors

Reporting

Pre-Construction:
- Hardbottom survey/mapping data and report

Pre-Pumping:
- Post-placement pipeline survey data

Post-Construction:
- Corridor monitoring data and report

During and/or Post-Construction (If Impacts):
- Impact assessment data and report
Mitigative Artificial Reefs

- Siting
- Success Criteria
- Monitoring
- Reporting
Mitigative Artificial Reefs

Siting

- Outside of direct impact area (e.g., beyond permitted ETOF)
- Similar water depth as impacted hardbottom
- Minimum 6-foot clearance (water depth) above top of reef
- Hardbottom *absent* (based on current and historical surveys)
- Minimum 100-foot buffer from hardbottom
- Sediment thickness between 1 and 3 feet
Mitigative Artificial Reefs

Success Criteria

• Mitigation must provide viable and sustainable ecological and hydrological functions
  
  *Section 10.3.3 of the ERP Applicant’s Handbook Volume I*
  
• Established during the permitting process

• Aimed at ensuring the mitigative reef:
  
  - Provides the net acreage of hardbottom required to offset impacts, and
  
  - Develops a hardbottom community similar (structure, composition and function) to that of the impact area/reference community
Monitoring

• Required to document the success of mitigative artificial reef in meeting its permit specified success criteria.

• Includes:
  - Physical mitigative reef surveys (gross and net acreage)
  - Impact area/Reference area biological survey (AR reference community)
  - Annual post-construction mitigative reef biological surveys (AR community development)
Mitigative Artificial Reefs

Reporting

Pre-construction – initial project:
• Impact area/Reference community survey data

Post-construction – mitigative reef:
• Physical mitigative reef monitoring data and reports
• Biological monitoring data and reports
Future Updates to Hardbottom SOP

- Revise current SOP to increase clarity
- Include Appendices for:
  - Pipeline Corridors
  - Mitigative Reefs
- Develop Appendix for:
  - Borrow Area Monitoring
Next Steps

• Add and refine resources for SAV toolkit and Hardbottom SOP
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