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To determine the percent-annual-chance water level (PWL) in coastal region is critical for coastal design, flood prevention, risk assessment etc. This study focuses on US upper mid-Atlantic region that are subject to

- Tropical Storms
- Extratropical Storms


Challenge I – Tropical vs Extratropical Storms

Tropical Storms (ET)
- rapid and strong
- characterized by path, sustained wind speed, pressure etc.
- synthetic storms can be generated using JPM-OS method

Extratropical Storms (ETS)
- long duration
- difficult to characterize the meteorological conditions
- require actual pressure and wind fields
Challenge II – Influence of tide (ETS)

The determination of the PWL at regional scale relies on numerical modeling.

- Storm selection using peak over threshold analysis
  non-tidal residuals should be used since weak storm at high tide ⇔ strong storm at low tide

- Storm surge modeling at random tides
  Storms are independent events of tide

- Return period analysis (with Q-Q optimization)
  Remove weak storm due to regional storm selection

How the PWL will change should peak storm surge occur at different tide conditions? Specifically,

1. What is the variability of the PWL associated with the random tide selection for each storm?

2. What is the number of tide required for each storm to reduce the aleatory bias (variability) of the PWL introduced by random tide?
Methodology

Tide, Surge, and Storm Tide

Nonlinearity

Bootstrapping
ADCIRC model from FEMA Region II study

30 historical extratropical storms; focus on 1974 storms for analysis of storm tide as a function of tide

- Tide only simulation
- Surge only simulation
- Six storm tide (tide+surge) simulations with each storm arriving every 1.5 hour with peak surge (not the WL) coincident with from low tide to high tide (typical spring tide to provide a larger tidal range)

Atlantic City

604,790 nodes
1,188,640 elements
Linear superposition vs direct simulation

Following Lin et al., 2012, we define the nonlinearity as

$$\gamma = \frac{L}{\eta_{storm} - \eta_{tide} + H_{tide}}$$

with

$$L = \eta_{storm} - \eta_{surge} - \eta_{tide}$$

- 90 degree out of phase from water level; weak near the peak
- irregular in tidal flat areas where nonlinearity is expected to be stronger
Linear superposition vs direct simulation

- strong linear correlation in non-tidal flat areas
- nonlinearity reduces the peak water level in tidal flat areas

Infinite number of peak storm tides can be generated, and sensitivity of the PWL can be analyzed

Image credit: Arcadis
Step 1 – Storm Tide Generation
Consider a fixed number of tide phases, \( N \)
\[\Rightarrow\] select \( N \) tide for each storm random
\[\Rightarrow\] \( 30 \times N \) storm tides by linear superposition with the peaks being adjusted by the regression equation

Step 2 – Return Period Analysis
A return period analysis is performed by fitting the peaks of all storm tides to the generalized extreme distribution (GEV)
Step 3 – Bootstrapping (fixed $N$ random tide)

Repeat a sufficiently large number of

Step 1 – Storm tide generation

Step 2 – Return period analysis

$\Rightarrow$ consistent sample mean and standard deviation of the PWL due to random tide selection with $N$ tidal phases.
Step 4 – Bias due to Number of Tide

Increment the number of tidal phases $N$ and repeat

Step 3 – Bootstrapping
(Step 1 and 2 imbedded)

$\Rightarrow$ sample mean and standard deviation of the PWL for each $N$ tides (shown for 1%).

The sufficient number of tidal phases can be determined by identifying the point of diminished returns in terms of reducing the standard deviation with increasing $N$.

- Minimal 10 random tides for each storm are required for the standard deviation to converge at practical level
- The variation of 1% WL due to tide is roughly 0.5 ft. within two standard deviations (95% confidence level)
Summary

- The peak WL of ETS typically occurs near the vicinity of the high tide;
- Nonlinearity of storm surge was analyzed by comparing storm tides from linear superposition and direct simulation, which shows 90 degree out of phase from tide with weak nonlinearity near the peak for non-tidal flat areas;
- Bootstrapping with linear superposition and regression equation shows the bias due to random tide can be greatly reduced by using more than 10 tides, where the reduction of the bias becomes very slow.

Discussion

This approach works well for non-tidal flat areas where nonlinearity is weak, whereas tidal flat areas with strong nonlinearity still relies on direct simulations. However, this approach can be used to guide the selection of the tide conditions for direct simulations rather than random selection to reduce the bias due to the tide.
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